```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
                   PORTLAND DIVISION
KELLY CAHILL, et al.,
individually and on behalf of
of others similarly situated,
                 Plaintiffs,
                                   No. 3:18cv-01477-JR
       VS.
NIKE, INC., an Oregon
corporation,
                Defendant.
                VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION
                           OF
                       SHANE WALKER
                        VOLUME I
DATE TAKEN: December 17, 2020
           9:30 a.m.
TIME:
PLACE: Virtual
```

		Page 2
1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN
3		MR. BYRON GOLDSTEIN
4		MR. JAMES KAN
5		MR. MENGFEI SUN
6		Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian,
7		Но
8		300 Lakeside Drive, Ste. 1000
9		Oakland, CA 94612
10		
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MS. FELICIA DAVIS
12		MS. LAURA ZABELE
13		MS. ALYSON SMITH
14		MS. LAUREN THIBODEAUX
15		Paul Hastings, LLP
16		515 South Flower Street
17		25th Floor
18		Los Angeles, CA 90071
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 43 1 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: 2 Do you understand the term validation? 3 Can you define that, please? Α. Are you familiar with the guidelines of 4 employee selection procedures? <u>5</u> 6 <u>I am not</u>. Can you define that, as well? Α. 7 I'll show you a copy and ask you if you've ever 0. seen it. 8 9 Can you see the --10 Okay. I have the document up. Α. 11 Have you ever seen this document before, sir? 0. 12 No. Α. 13 (Deposition Exhibit No. 506 was marked for identification.) 14 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: 15

- 16 Q. So you wouldn't be able to testify as to
- 17 whether or not these requirements have been validated in
- 18 accordance with the requirements set forth in the uniform
- 19 guidelines which I've just had marked as Exhibit 506.
- 20 A. I would --
- MS. DAVIS: The question is vague and
- 22 ambiguous, and it assumes that the guidelines apply to
- 23 the compensation programs that Mr. Walker is here to
- 24 testify about, calls for a legal or expert opinion.
- 25 Go ahead. Sorry, Mr. Walker.

Beovich Walter & Friend 503-228-7201

- 1 Q. Do you have it, Mr. Walker?
- 2 A. I'm downloading it now. Okay. I have the
- 3 document up.
- 4 Q. Would you know who prepared this document?
- 5 A. No, I do not.
- Q. Can you turn to slide 11, please.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. These are the pipelines that I was referring to
- 9 earlier.
- 10 Does this refresh your recollection that Nike
- 11 has 20 pipelines?
- 12 A. At one point in time, yes, we would have had 20
- 13 pipelines. I would also refer to this as job function.
- <u>0.</u> So pipelines and functions are interchangeable?
- 15 A. Pipeline and function I would not say are
- 16 interchangeable, but pipeline and job function are
- 17 interchangeable.
- 18 Q. So we could say that -- I see one of the
- 19 pipelines here is human resources, and you would be in
- the human resources pipeline; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And you would also call that, you'd be in the
- 23 human resources job function?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. If you could turn to slide 20.

- 1 determine job levels in leveling criteria.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, when we're talking about levels, we're
- 5 talking about the 10 levels that -- that are related to
- 6 the four bands, L through S?
- A. Yes, more than ten, but, yes. They are the job
- 8 levels associated with each of the programs.
- 9 Q. But there are ten, aren't there?
- 10 A. Yes, there are ten. Sorry.
- 11 Q. I just want to be sure we're on the same page.
- MS. DAVIS: I'll object. That misstates the
- 13 document.
- MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: I'm not referring to the
- 15 document. I'm referring to whether there were ten levels
- 16 in the four bands, L through S.
- 17 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 18 Q. There's leveling criteria. What are the
- 19 leveling criteria?
- 20 A. So they describe the level of work for each job
- 21 level and they cover things like spoken impact,
- 22 communication influence and knowledge and experience.
- O. Are criteria what are used to establish what
- 24 level a job is placed within?
- 25 A. There is leveling criteria describes a level of

- 1 work for each job, and within each job family, there is
- 2 going to be a full set of levels that are linked to each
- 3 of the criteria.
- 4 Q. But these -- the leveling criteria are used to
- 5 place jobs that are similar with respect to these three
- 6 criteria within levels?
- 7 MS. DAVIS: The question is vague and
- 8 ambiguous.
- 9 If you understand it, you can answer.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Again, the leveling criteria
- 11 describes the level of work that is tied to each job
- 12 level. It would not describe anything related to the job
- 13 itself.
- 14 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 15 O. I'm confused, because if we just take scope and
- 16 impact, it describes the scope and impact of the job
- 17 within Nike, including the degree to which the job
- 18 identifies solutions to problems, makes autonomous
- 19 decisions and is responsible for managing talent,
- 20 performance and pay.
- <u>Isn't that related to the job?</u>
- A. So every job at Nike has a job level, so in the
- 23 way that it relates to the job is the level of work.
- 24 O. Okay. And in using these leveling criteria, is
- <u>25</u> <u>it fair to say that the level of work is comparable for</u>

- <u>all the jobs that are in a particular level?</u>
- A. So it is the quidance we provide, so it's the
- 3 framework we use, so each job within a certain level
- 4 would have generally the same level of responsibility
- 5 across those criteria.
- Q. When you say it is the guidance we provide, is
- 7 that -- are you referring to the compensation team?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you're providing that guidance to other
- 10 parts of HR?
- 11 A. So we provide it to our HR business-facing
- 12 roles as well as managers.
- 13 Q. How were these three leveling criteria
- 14 <u>developed?</u>
- 15 A. Similar to the document we looked at earlier
- 16 that had criteria listed around where we talked about the
- 17 requirements, we look at the market to help us define
- 18 what is -- what is the job level that we're seeing in the
- 19 market. They typically have descriptions of what is
- 20 required for each of those job levels, and then we use
- 21 that to kind of a baseline for building our criteria for
- <u>22</u> <u>Nike.</u>
- Q. Turning to Exhibit 507. Has that been
- 24 downloaded? Could you look at Exhibit 507, please, Mr.
- 25 Walker?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Now, agreement -- it looks like the first goal,
- 3 agreement on benchmark jobs and their matches, what does
- 4 this goal mean?
- 5 A. So not all of Nike's jobs exist in the market,
- 6 so we are matching those jobs that had similar job
- 7 content at Nike and also had similar job content in the
- 8 market, which would be our survey vendors.
- And so our intent of this was to agree on the
- 10 benchmark jobs across the team and make sure that we have
- 11 matched all the jobs at Nike to the appropriate salary
- 12 survey jobs.
- O. So as I understand it, correct me if I'm wrong,
- 14 you take some jobs that you refer to as benchmark jobs
- 15 that are comparable to jobs that are -- generally exist
- <u>in the market with similar companies; is that correct?</u>
- A. So we look at job content and we match our jobs
- 18 at Nike to the jobs that are in the market, and those are
- 19 what we consider to be our benchmark jobs.
- <u>O. When you say job content, what do you mean?</u>
- A. Generally the nature of work of the job. So a
- 22 finance job will have very different nature of work than,
- 23 for example, an HR job or a legal job, so it's the type
- 24 of work is what we are looking at.
- 25 And then the second piece is the level of work,

- 1 which is tied to the criteria.
- 2 Q. When you say -- are you matching in content or
- 3 are you thinking of the skills, abilities, knowledge and
- 4 experiences required for specific jobs?
- 5 A. No. I mean, not necessarily.
- 6 So we are -- when we are setting the jobs, we
- are using the criteria that we just discussed and we're
- 8 looking at the job content, which I described as type of
- 9 work. Those two things combined are what get us to the
- <u>ability to match to a job in the market.</u>
- 11 For example, we discussed the requirements
- 12 section that we have, that is not something we would
- 13 necessarily use when we are benchmarking our jobs to the
- <u>14</u> market.
- 15 Q. When you say the criteria, you're referring to
- 16 the three leveling criteria that we just discussed?
- 17 A. Yes, the three leveling criteria or the other
- 18 exhibits that had the criteria listed by bands and level.
- 19 Q. I just want to be sure, the other exhibit, I
- 20 think, that you're referring to is the Nike VALUES band
- 21 overview, which was Exhibit No. 505?
- <u>A.</u> <u>Correct.</u>
- 23 Q. So you're looking go at the description of the
- 24 jobs or the content of the jobs in 505 along with the
- <u>25</u> three leveling criteria that we looked at?

Page 64 A. I am using what you just -- 505 and leveling 1 criteria interchangeably. They are both the same thing. <u>2</u> 3 Who makes the determination as to which are the 4 benchmark jobs? 5 So the compensation team. In this particular case, we had a group working session, and so it was a 6 7 collective, I would call it, consensus decision-making to 8 get to those benchmark jobs. 9 The second goal is agreement on senior manager 10 level and cleanup of job codes/families. 11 What is senior manager level? So that is a level that we see in the markets, 12 Α. 13 but we do not currently have at Nike. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

Page 90 1 Α. Yes. 2 -- Exhibit 505? Ο. 3 Yes. And we have gone through a transition to talking about those in different terms over the past 4 <u>5</u> several years. And what do you mean you've gone through 6 7 talking about it in different terms? A. So I'm referring to slide 26 in Exhibit 500, we 8 9 talk about it in terms of scope and impact, communication 10 and influence and knowledge and experience, whereas on 11 the leveling guides, there were more granular views of what each, I quess, bucket of criteria would be. So 12 13 essentially what we've been trying to do is simplify the wav we talk about that criteria. 14 Thank you for the clarification. Let me just 15 be sure that I understand it. 16 17 You indicated that the three leveling criteria 18 were the same as the more granular descriptions that were 19 on Exhibit 505 presented in a more simplified form; is 20 that accurate? 21 MS. DAVIS: Misstates the testimony that 2.2 they're the same. 23 Go ahead. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, I was just going to say they 25 are not exactly the same, but they serve the same

	Page 102
<u>1</u>	(Deposition Exhibit No. 515 was marked for
<u>2</u>	<pre>identification.)</pre>
<u>3</u>	BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
<u>4</u>	Q. We've downloaded another PowerPoint. This is
<u>5</u>	Exhibit 515, fiscal year '20 Total Rewards Updates. It
<u>6</u>	has a date of May 24, 2019.
<u>7</u>	What does Total Rewards refer to?
<u>8</u>	A. So at Nike Total Rewards are our pay and
<u>9</u>	benefit programs.
<u>10</u>	Q. And that would Total Rewards would fall
<u>11</u>	under the responsibility of the compensation team?
<u>12</u>	A. The pay side of that would fall under the
<u>13</u>	compensation team. We have a separate team responsible
<u>14</u>	for benefits.
<u>15</u>	Q. Are stock awards part of benefits or part of
<u>16</u>	<u>pay?</u>
<u>17</u>	A. So annual stock awards and discretionary stock
<u>18</u>	awards are considered part of pay. Employee stock
<u>19</u>	purchase plans would be considered a benefit. And some
<u>20</u>	of this information is also in Exhibit 500, on slides 10
<u>21</u>	through, I believe, 20. 10 through 20 has the definition
<u>22</u>	of what Total Rewards are at Nike.
<u>23</u>	Q. If you turn to do you know who prepared
<u>24</u>	is this a training presentation, this PowerPoint?
<u>25</u>	A. So I felt like there were two questions in

- 1 there. I don't know who prepared this document.
- 2 And from just looking over the content of it,
- 3 yes, it does look like a training document of some kind.
- 4 Q. Would it be prepared by the compensation team,
- <u>5</u> someone on the compensation team?
- 6 A. It's possible. What I can see in here is that
- 7 there are slides that were pulled from Exhibit 500, which
- 8 is our Nike training, so there likely was some
- 9 involvement from the compensation team. My guess would
- 10 be it was a combination of compensation and talent
- 11 acquisition in partnership.
- 12 Q. I did notice that somebody was stealing your
- 13 work.
- 14 A. It should be all over now.
- 15 Q. The first bullet point: We recognize that it's
- 16 helpful to have guidelines to follow when making pay
- 17 decisions.
- Does that apply for pay decisions at Nike that
- 19 there are guidelines for managers and executives to
- 20 follow in making pay decisions?
- 21 A. I'm sorry. What part are you referencing?
- 22 O. 6 in the notes.
- 23 A. Got it.
- 24 Can you please repeat the question?
- Q. The bullet point says: We also recognize that

- 1 efficient way of managing pay across the company.
- With market zones, we had approximately 100,000
- 3 market zones that we were having to manage within the
- 4 compensation team and as a company. And managers, also,
- 5 managers, HR, talent acquisition were also having to
- 6 refer to each of those data points to make pay decisions
- 7 when they were in various processes. And so we moved to
- 8 pay ranges, which just simplified the way that we managed
- 9 pay for employees.
- 10 <u>Q. And you devised the pay ranges with the</u>
- benchmarking and market assessment that we've discussed?
- <u>12</u> <u>A.</u> <u>Yes.</u>
- 13 Q. If you turn to slide 5.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 O. What does this slide indicate?
- A. So the documents essentially shows how our pay
- 17 structures are spread out across each of the job levels
- 18 and bands at Nike, so if you're looking at the rows,
- 19 those are tied to each of the job levels. And then the
- 20 columns under the pay range represent essentially what
- 21 turns into a pay grade and the pay range tied to that.
- If you're looking at the entry level, there are
- 23 five pay grades within --
- O. Excuse me. Let's look at this --
- 25 MS. DAVIS: Can you just -- can you just let

- 1 things consistent in terms of what our pay structures
- 2 might show up in the U.S. are versus another location, or
- 3 if they show up in a similar way from one job function
- 4 versus another job function. We wouldn't want to build
- 5 pay ranges, for example, that are drastically different
- 6 for one of our job functions in pipeline versus another,
- 7 so we do aim to be consistent in our approach in how we
- 8 do pay ranges.
- 9 BY MR. GOLDSTEIN:
- 10 Q. Focusing on Nike World Headquarters, is there a
- 11 goal to have a consistent compensation practices at Nike
- 12 World Headquarters?
- MS. DAVIS: Objection, vague and ambiguous.
- Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: We provide the frameworks for our
- 16 managers to use, and so we do aim to provide consistent
- 17 frameworks and guidelines for our managers, but how those
- 18 are applied by our managers, anyone that's involved in a
- 19 pay decision, will be different.
- 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 517 was marked for
- 21 identification.)
- 22 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- Q. Again, as we move on with this deposition,
- 24 we'll talk about the role of E7+ in the pay decisions.
- 25 I'm not following up on the questions of managers and

```
CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
 3
      STATE OF OREGON
                                       SS
 4
 5
       COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )
 6
 7
 8
                    I, Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR, hereby
 9
       certify that said witness appeared before me via Zoom at
      the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the forgoing matter; that thereafter my notes were
10
11
       transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under
12
       my direction; and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true and accurate record of all such testimony adduced and oral proceedings had, and the whole thereof.
13
                    I further certify review of the transcript was
14
       not requested
                    Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 27th
15
       day of December 2020.
16
17
18
19
                                          Veresa L. Zider
20
21
                                           Teresa L. Rider
                 12-0421
                                           Oregon CSR No. 12-0421
22
                                           Washington CCR No. 2119
                                           Expires 12-03-23
23
24
```

25

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
                    PORTLAND DIVISION
KELLY CAHILL, et al.,
individually and on behalf of
of others similarly situated,
                  Plaintiffs,
                                   No. 3:18cv-01477-JR
       VS.
NIKE, INC., an Oregon
corporation,
                Defendant.
                VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION
                           OF
                       SHANE WALKER
                       VOLUME II
DATE TAKEN: December 18, 2020
            9:30 a.m.
TIME:
PLACE: Virtual
COURT REPORTER: Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR
```

,		Page 150
1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN
3		MR. BYRON GOLDSTEIN
4		MR. JAMES KAN
5		MR. MENGFEI SUN
6		Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian,
7		Но
8		300 Lakeside Drive, Ste. 1000
9		Oakland, CA 94612
10		
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MS. FELICIA DAVIS
12		MS. LAURA ZABELE
13		MS. LAUREN THIBODEAUX
14		Paul Hastings, LLP
15		515 South Flower Street
16		25th Floor
17		Los Angeles, CA 90071
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 validation?
- 2 A. In this particular setting, no. I think there
- 3 are many ways that validation can occur within
- 4 compensation, so I'm having a hard time understanding
- 5 what specifically this is relating to.
- Q. Now, the definition that we would use for
- 7 validation would be the one that's provided in the
- 8 Uniform Guidelines.
- 9 And could you look at Exhibit 506?
- 10 A. Okay. I have 506 open.
- 11 Q. Okay. And I'm going to read the definition of
- 12 validation, which is at Section 1607.16X. It's near the
- 13 back of the document, sir.
- 14 A. I just have to get there.
- Okay. I am at that section.
- 16 O. And the definition is: Validated in accord
- 17 with these guidelines or properly validated. Validated.
- 18 A demonstration that one or more validity study or
- 19 studies meeting the standards of these guidelines has
- 20 been conducted, including investigation and, where
- 21 appropriate, use of suitable alternative selection
- 22 practices as contemplated by Section 3B, and has produced
- 23 evidence of validity sufficient to warrant use of the
- 24 procedure for the intended purpose under the standards of
- 25 these quidelines.

,	Page 179
<u>1</u>	Do you see that?
<u>2</u>	A. I do.
<u>3</u>	MS. DAVIS: I'll just object. I'll object.
<u>4</u>	You misread one word. It said selection procedures.
<u>5</u>	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: I copied it wrong. Where
<u>6</u>	is that, Felicia?
<u>7</u>	MS. DAVIS: I'm just reading the paragraph, but
<u>8</u>	you didn't read it accurately.
9	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: Suitable alternative
<u>10</u>	selection procedures is what I said.
<u>11</u>	MS. DAVIS: You said suitable alternative
<u>12</u>	selection practices.
<u>13</u>	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: Oh, okay. I should have
<u>14</u>	said procedures. Is that correct, Felicia?
<u>15</u>	MS. DAVIS: Yes. That's what the document
<u>16</u>	says, yes.
<u>17</u>	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.
<u>18</u>	BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
<u>19</u>	Q. Mr. Walker, does that help you understand what
<u>20</u>	validation means?
<u>21</u>	A. Generally, yes.
<u>22</u>	Q. Have you ever seen validation evidence or study
<u>23</u>	at Nike?
24	A. I have not.
25	Q. I'm going to read you one other definition of

- 1 If you want to use terminology --
- 2 MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: I just asked him a
- 3 question, Felicia. I just asked him a question.
- 4 MS. DAVIS: It misstates his testimony.
- 5 MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: I was asking him a
- 6 question.
- 7 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- Q. Are you qualified to testify about Topic No.
- 9 24, Mr. Walker?
- 10 A. Can you define what you mean by qualified to
- 11 testify on this topic?
- 12 Q. Can you tell me what Nike understands the term
- 13 validation to mean?
- 14 A. I cannot.
- 15 MS. DAVIS: Let's take a break.
- MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Ten minutes?
- MS. DAVIS: That works.
- 18 (Off the record.)
- 19 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- <u>Q. Mr. Walker, I want to turn you to Topic 27.</u>
- 21 Again, you can look at Topic 27 on Exhibit 502.
- <u>22</u> <u>A. Okay.</u>
- 23 <u>Q. Topic 27 is Nike's use of job analyses in</u>
- 24 establishing, modifying or implementing compensation,
- 25 policies or practices or establishing job codes, job

- 1 levels, bands, subfamilies, families or functions, 2016
- 2 to the present.
- <u>Do you know of any use by Nike of job analyses</u>
- 4 for the purposes listed in this topic?
- 5 A. I am not aware that has occurred.
- Q. Turning to Topic 25. I'll read it: Compliance
- 7 with the Uniform Guidelines with respect to compensation,
- 8 policies or practices, as Nike understands that term and
- 9 to the extent it applies to compensation, policies or
- 10 practice, 2016 to the present.
- 11 You've already testified that you haven't seen
- 12 -- didn't see the Uniform Guidelines or you never
- 13 reviewed the Uniform Guidelines prior to this deposition,
- 14 but I want to focus my question on as Nike understands
- 15 this term.
- Do you know how Nike understands the term
- 17 compliance with the Uniform Guidelines?
- 18 A. I do not.
- 19 Q. Mr. Walker, I want to turn your attention back
- 20 to Exhibit 522, which is the Annual Pay Review Deep Dive
- 21 Total Rewards, March 12, 2019.
- 22 A. Okay. I have it opened.
- Q. I have to bring it up on my screen.
- Did this presentation occur on March 12, 2019?
- 25 A. Yes, I believe so.

- 1 A. Bernard Bedon, I think is how you say his last
- 2 name.
- 3 (Deposition Exhibit No. 524 was marked for
- 4 identification.)
- 5 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 6 Q. If we could return to Exhibit 524.
- 7 A. Okay. I have the document open.
- 8 Q. And again, if we could look at slide 15. I'm
- 9 sorry. I meant Exhibit 522.
- 10 A. Okay. Give me just a second. Okay. I have
- 11 that one open.
- 12 O. There's some overlap with the roles and
- 13 responsibilities that we discussed in the other
- 14 presentation that you did that was Exhibit 521, but I
- think there's one or two additional ones for the HRBP:
- 16 Utilize reporting to ensure pay recommendations and
- 17 outcomes align with talent perspectives. I think that
- 18 was listed with TRC in the prior presentation.
- 19 What is referenced by utilizing reporting to
- 20 ensure pay recommendations and outcomes align with talent
- 21 perspectives?
- 22 A. Yeah. Counsel just clarified something you
- 23 just said. This document, 521, is from March -- 522 is
- 24 from March. 521 is from May. They were intended to
- 25 serve the same purpose, and so we keep jumping around

- 1 back and forth between the two, but we're referring to
- 2 <u>older language in this particular document.</u>
- 3 Q. Okay. In this document, is it a role, a
- 4 current role of HRBP to utilize reporting to ensure pay
- 5 recommendations and outcomes align with talent
- 6 perspectives?
- 7 A. It is something that we do expect our HRBP and
- 8 TRCs to be looking at.
- 9 Q. What's the reporting that is referenced?
- 10 A. So the reporting in dashboards -- dashboard
- 11 tiles that are available in SuccessFactors.
- 12 Q. And what specific tiles?
- 13 A. Not necessarily one single tile.
- 14 Q. Would those be the tiles that reflected
- 15 performance evaluations, such as CFE and talent
- 16 segmentation?
- 17 A. Generally, they're going to have to be a
- 18 combination of the data that's available through the
- 19 dashboard to be able to make some of these assessments.
- 20 There's not one self-service view that's going to tell
- 21 them an answer on how that looks.
- Q. Would they be looking at the tile that
- 23 reflected CFE ratings?
- A. They may. Each TRC goes about that process or
- 25 each HRBP goes about that process in a different way.

Page 301 1 MS. DAVIS: I'll just object, briefly, that it 2 calls for a legal opinion and Mr. Walker is not an 3 attorney, but he can testify about his understanding. 4 Go ahead. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, so my understanding is in 6 this first year, it was -- it was protected, because there are now two separate bodies of work that we do, the 7 below minimum piece is not protected. 8 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: 9 10 Now, in the below minimum piece, it's not a Ο. 11 merit increase, but it would be an increase in salary that would result; is that correct? 12 13 A. Yes, we would make a pay adjustment for an 14 employee. 15 16 17 18 19 If you determined that somebody wasn't being 20 21 paid equitably, was there any payment of back wages for 22 the period of time when the person was not being paid <u>23</u> equitably? 24 MS. DAVIS: I'll object and instruct you not to 25 answer. This is getting into our attorney work product

- 1 and he's not going to answer questions about it.
- 2 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- Q. In the first year, what were the documents that
- 4 were created for active pay management? I guess you said
- 5 it was spreadsheets. Is there anything created other
- 6 than spreadsheets?
- 7 MS. DAVIS: I'm going to object and instruct
- 8 you not to answer on the grounds it invades the
- 9 attorney-client privilege and goes into attorney work
- 10 product, what other documents were prepared.
- MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: I'm not asking about
- 12 content. I'm just asking if there were documents that
- 13 were prepared.
- MS. DAVIS: I'm going to instruct you not to
- answer at this point. If we take a break, he and I can
- 16 chat and I can decide if I'll change my objection.
- 17 MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: That's fair enough.
- MR. BYRON GOLDSTEIN: Do you want to take a
- 19 break?
- MS. DAVIS: We can take it now or we can do it
- 21 later.
- MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: We can continue. And
- 23 there may be more things for you to consult with,
- 24 Felicia.
- MS. DAVIS: That would be more efficient, then.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF OREGON)
4) ss
5	COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH)
6	×
7	
8	
9	I, Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR, hereby
10	certify that said witness appeared before me via Zoom at the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that
11	at said time and place I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the
12	forgoing matter; that thereafter my notes were transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under
13	my direction; and that the foregoing pages constitute a full, true and accurate record of all such testimony
14	adduced and oral proceedings had, and the whole thereof. I further certify review of the transcript was
15	not requested. Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 29th
16	day of December 2020.
17	
18	
19	
20	OREGON Oregon CSR No. 12-0421
21	
22	Washington CCR No. 2119 Expires 12-03-23
23	AND SAIL ROSES
24	
25	

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
                    PORTLAND DIVISION
KELLY CAHILL, et al.,
individually and on behalf of
of others similarly situated,
                  Plaintiffs,
                                   No. 3:18cv-01477-JR
       VS.
NIKE, INC., an Oregon
corporation,
                Defendant.
                VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION
                           OF
                       SHANE WALKER
                       VOLUME III
DATE TAKEN: December 21, 2020
            9:30 a.m.
TIME:
PLACE: Virtual
COURT REPORTER: Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR
```

_		
ŕ		Page 340
1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN
3		MR. BYRON GOLDSTEIN
4		MR. JAMES KAN
5		MR. MENGFEI SUN
6		Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian,
7		Но
8		300 Lakeside Drive, Ste. 1000
9		Oakland, CA 94612
10		
11	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MS. FELICIA DAVIS
12		Paul Hastings, LLP
13		515 South Flower Street
14		25th Floor
15		Los Angeles, CA 90071
16		
17	Also Present: Ms. Cas	sie English
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 as outside the scope of the topics under which Mr. Walker
- 2 has been designated to testify. He's not here to talk
- 3 about hiring.
- 4 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 5 Q. Would these consistent guidelines apply to the
- 6 policy for setting starting pay?
- <u>MS. DAVIS:</u> <u>Objection.</u> <u>Vague and ambiguous.</u>
- 8 If you understand, you can answer.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know what is being
- 10 referred to, but we do have quidelines that are provided
- 11 to our managers on the acquisition team around
- 12 positioning people in the pay range when they join it.
- 13 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 14 O. Do those consistent quidelines apply to the
- <u>15</u> <u>setting of starting pay from 2015 to the present?</u>
- A. We put the quidelines in place in 2018.
- 0. When you refer to the guidelines in place in
- 18 2018, which guidelines are you referring to, sir?
- 19 A. The position of range guidance that we've
- 20 discussed prior.
- 21 Q. Prior to putting in -- prior to implementing
- 22 the position and range quidelines in 2018, were there
- 23 guidelines for hiring managers and talent acquisition
- 24 with respect to setting starting pay before 2018?
- A. Yes, there were quidelines.

Page 350

Setting the

2018 that

iring

V.

ume that
ing pay that
se

- 1 Q. What were those guidelines, sir?
- <u>A. I don't know specifically.</u>
- 3 O. How would those quidelines have been
- 4 communicated to the talent acquisition and hiring
- 5 managers?
- <u>A. Again, I don't know.</u>
- 7 Q. Who would have been responsible for setting the
- 8 guidelines for setting starting pay prior to 2018 that
- 9 were communicated to talent acquisition and hiring
- <u>managers?</u>
- 11 A. I can't say for a fact. I don't know.
- MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN: Felicia, I assume that
- when you designate somebody for setting starting pay that
- 14 there will be somebody prepared to answer these
- 15 questions.
- 16 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 17 Q. Mr. Walker, I'm showing you a document that is
- 18 Exhibit No. 540, Candidate Summary. It's a phone screen
- 19 date, I believe, of December 2014 for Heather Hender.
- 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 540 was marked for
- 21 identification.)
- 22 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- Q. Do you see this document, Candidate Summary for
- 24 Ms. Heather Hender?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

- 1 Q. So as I understand your testimony, you do have
- 2 lump sum merit or core pay increases today; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And how are those lump sum awards calculated?
- 6 A. Today?
- 7 O. Yes, sir.
- 8 A. So it is calculated as a percentage of the
- 9 employee's base pay, and it would be calculated as the
- 10 percentage above the maximum of the pay range.
- 11 Q. Now, during this time period from 2015 until
- 12 the change to core awards in 2019, would merit awards be
- 13 calculated on the basis of a percentage increase to base
- 14 salary?
- 15 A. The award an employee would have received would
- 16 have been based on a percentage of base pay, yes.
- 17 Q. Turning to the second bullet: Performance
- 18 appraisal must be done before an award is processed.
- 19 Is that accurate in 2015 and is it accurate
- 20 from 2015 to the present?
- 21 A. It was accurate in 2015. In order to have
- 22 guidelines appear for pay, you have to have a CFE rating.
- 23 There's no rating your guidelines don't appear. So in
- 24 that sense, in terms of exactly what happened with the
- 25 CFE performance appraisal, I can't speak to that, and

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And what does internal equity range refer to?
- 3 A. So internal equity is pay relative to peers,
- 4 and that can look many different ways for an individual
- 5 team or manager. In 2015, this would have referred --
- 6 one data point in that would have been the internal pay
- 7 range.
- 8 Q. And I believe you testified about that on
- 9 Friday, is that correct, you referred to those external
- 10 pay range and an internal pay range?
- 11 A. Yes, I did testify to that. I don't know what
- 12 day it was on, but, yes, we did cover that.
- 13 Q. Let's move up to the directions that are under
- 14 the category, how it works. There's the statement:
- 15 Performance rating guidelines used for merit awards vary
- 16 from year to year and country to country.
- 17 Are there performance rating guidelines for
- 18 establishing merit awards?
- 19 A. So from 2015 to 2018, yes. The way we
- 20 <u>established merit rating guidelines was using performance</u>
- 21 rating. There was a minimum and maximum percentage for
- 22 each rating, and then managers used discretion to
- 23 determine what increase the employee would receive,
- 24 whether that was within or outside of the quideline
- 25 <u>depended on each manager.</u>

- 1 quidelines, I think you said the guidelines would provide
- 2 a percentage range for potential increases for merit pay
- 3 increase; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes, each performance rating would have had a
- 5 range for the guideline.
- <u>6</u> <u>Q. Would it be a higher range for highly</u>
- 3 successful as opposed to, for example, successful rating?
- 8 A. The possibility for a larger increase would
- 9 have been reflected in the guidelines, yes. I don't know
- 10 what specifically is meant by higher quideline. The
- 11 range spread would have been the same and there would
- 12 likely have been some overlap between ratings.
- 13 Q. When you say overlap between ratings, what do
- 14 you mean by that, sir?
- 15 A. So for example, for a successful -- an employee
- 16 rated successful, the guideline would have potentially
- 17 shown this up, but 3 to 5 percent. I'm making this up --
- 18 Q. Excuse me. I'm sorry. I just missed what you
- 19 said. What was the example you're giving? And I
- 20 understand you don't know exactly what the guidelines
- 21 were and you're giving an example, and that's helpful, so
- 22 please go ahead, sir.
- A. So for an employee rated successful, the
- 24 guideline that would have shown could have been 3 percent
- <u>to 5 percent.</u> For an employee that was highly

- 1 successful, the quideline could have shown 4 percent to 6
- 2 percent. And for someone whose rated exceptional, the
- 3 guideline could have shown 5 percent to 7 percent.
- Q. And, again, this is a hypothetical that you're
- 5 giving. You don't know exactly what the guidelines
- 6 showed for each of the years from 2015 to 2018, do you?
- 7 A. I do not.
- 8 Q. Now, also turning to Exhibit 541 and under How
- 9 It Works, could you read the first sentence under the
- 10 title How It Works?
- 11 A. The first sentence says: Merit awards
- 12 recognize the annual coaching for excellence, CFE,
- assessment of results achieved, the budget available and
- 14 other relevant factors such as market data and internal
- 15 equity.
- 16 Q. How does budget availability impact merit
- increases during the period from 2015 until the core pay
- increases implemented in 2019?
- 19 A. So budgets are established at the country
- 20 level, so we use market data from our survey vendors
- 21 along with information about our range movement or our
- 22 pay range movement to determine what is the appropriate
- 23 budget for each country, and then that budget is applied
- 24 to each individual employees pay. It is summed together
- 25 and for each manager, and that is the budget that they

- 1 expanded definition of market pricing that I want to ask
- 2 you about.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. And I'll read it into the record this time:
- 5 Market pricing is the process of determining the market
- 6 rates for representative sample of jobs in a specific
- 7 talent market, based on data for jobs with similar
- 8 functions and comparable scope of responsibility.
- Do you see that, sir?
- <u>10</u> <u>A.</u> <u>Yes.</u>
- 11 Q. Do you agree with that definition of market
- 12 pricing, as well?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is the data referred to in this definition the
- 15 data that is supplied through the survey companies?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 0. And is jobs with similar functions and
- 18 comparable scope of responsibility, would that be --
- 19 those jobs be determined in the same way that you've
- 20 described job content being determined when we discussed
- 21 the last exhibit?
- 22 A. Yes. Where it says similar functions and
- 23 comparable scope of responsibility, I would define that
- 24 as type of work and level of work.
- 25 O. So the job levels within the value bands would

- 1 be the scope of responsibility?
- 2 A. It's one factor in determining a job level,
- <u>3</u> <u>yes.</u>
- 4 Q. And similar functions, that would be the job
- 5 functions, job families, job subfamilies?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Turn to slide 12, please.
- 8 A. Which slide?
- 9 Q. 12.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Now, on the left-hand part of this slide,
- 12 there's V S. I'm assuming that is referring to the
- 13 values bands?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And remind me again what CLT refers to?
- 16 A. CLT is defined as the corporate leadership
- 17 team, and that would be E7+ bands.
- 18 Q. Now, under V S, it says: CompAnalyst uses a
- 19 regression line to market competitions to determine the
- 20 number of job grades.
- Job grades, does that refer to pay grades?
- MS. DAVIS: The document actually says market
- 23 composites.
- 24 BY MR. BARRY GOLDSTEIN:
- 25 Q. Market composites. Let me see if I can get it

	Page 488
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF OREGON)
4) ss
5	COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH)
6	
7	
8	
9	I, Teresa L. Rider, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR, hereby
	certify that said witness appeared before me via Zoom at
10	the time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that
	at said time and place I reported in stenotype all
11	testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the
	forgoing matter; that thereafter my notes were
12	transcribed through computer-aided transcription, under
	my direction; and that the foregoing pages constitute a
13	full, true and accurate record of all such testimony
	adduced and oral proceedings had, and the whole thereof.
14	I further certify review of the transcript was
1 -	not requested.
15	Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 29th
1.0	day of December 2020.
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
20	Teresa L. Rider
21	Oregon CSR No. 12-0421
	Washington CCR No. 2119
22	Expires 12-03-23
23	
24	
25	